PATENT COOPERATION TREA

PCT

TY REC'D	1	7	KUL	2005
WIPO				PC

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

AMENDED IPER

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		•	,					
	icant's or agent's file reference 800316-MP/co	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON s	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
,		International filing date (day) 16.03.2004	nonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.03.2003				
Ł .	national Patent Classification (IPC) or n 3B5/60, B03B5/64, B03B5/36, B0	ational classification and IPC 3B5/28		RECTE	•			
	Applicant TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT DELFT et al.							
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this c	over sheet.					
3.	This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprising:						
}	a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and t	o the International Bureau) a	total of 1 sheets, a	as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following items	:					
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opi	nion						
	☐ Box No. II Priority							
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	——————————————————————————————————————						
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention						
	☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Article 35(2) wi ations and explanations sup	th regard to novelty, porting such statement	inventive step or indust ent	rial			
1	Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited						
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects	in the international applicat	ion					
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
<u></u>					=			
Date of submission of the demand		Da	ite of completion of this	report				
14.10.2004			16.06.2005					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			thorized Officer		options Petrolem			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Leitner, J								
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		356 epmu d ·	elephone No. +49 89 23	800-702 <i>1</i>				
	I U.A. 1-70 US 2005 - 7-700	10	AUPHONIO INC. THO GO EO	OU FULT	cap eathr _			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000186

_	Box No. I Basis of the	report				
1.	With regard to the langua filed, unless otherwise inc	egard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	which is the language ☐ international searce ☐ publication of the	on translations from the original language into the following language, of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) international application (under Rule 12.4) ninary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	have been furnished to th	h regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Description, Pages					
	1-10	as originally filed				
	Claims, Numbers					
	2-16	as originally filed				
	1	received on 04.05.2005 with letter of 03.05.2005				
	Drawings, Sheets					
	1/1	as originally filed				
	☐ a sequence listing ar	d/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.	☐ the description, pa☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, she☐ the sequence listi	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	had not been made, since Supplemental Box (Rule the description, potential the claims, Nos. the drawings, she the sequence listi	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below d not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the pplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
	* If item 4 applie	es, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000186

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-16

Claims No:

Yes: Claims Inventive step (IS)

No: Claims 1-16

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-16

> No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Prior Art

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE 11 19 191 B (THEODOR EDER DR) 14 December 1961 (1961-12-14)

D2: DE 43 09 326 A (PAGENKOPF INGEBORG DR) 22 Sep. 1994 (1994-09-22)

D3: US-A-2 854 136 (GILLIE PERCY H) 30 September 1958 (1958-09-30)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 15

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 15 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): an apparatus for the separation of particles comprising:

a vessel (1, 22) provided with baffles (21) radiating from a shaft (19), placed, concentrically in the vessel, in a direction to a circumferentially placed wall (22) of the vessel.

and at least two collecting means (7, 8) at the bottom of the vessel having their own discharge means (figures 2, 4).

The subject matter of claim 15 **differs** therefrom in that there are at least 10 baffles provided in the vessel. In document D1 it is not explicitly described how many baffles are provided.

In the example described in column 5, line 67 to column 6, line 26, which describes a simplified form of the apparatus, it is stated that for moving the fluid there must be a sufficient number of baffles (nicht zu spärliche Anordnung von Lamellen). Although these baffles (Lamellen) are foreseen for moving the fluid, they are there in this apparatus for the separation of particles of D1. Claim 15 of the present application does not describe the spatial arrangement of the baffles sufficiently in order to

distinguish them from the "Lamellen" of D1.

In connection with this simplified form of the apparatus, it is disclosed that with this partly limited fluid columns only modest results can be achieved (column 6, lines 17 - 26). So it is clear that with a vessel provided with baffles like the "Begrenzungswände" (21) better results can be achieved.

From the starting point, with a vessel having no separation baffle where only modest results can be achieved, to a vessel with a certain amount of separation baffles where the separation results become better, for the skilled person it would be a normal design option during the routine experimental designing procedure to choose the most appropriate number of baffles to achieve at the best separation results, depending on the material of the particles to be separated. He would consider also 10 or more baffles, there can be seen no reason during an experimental designing procedure to stop at for instance eight baffles.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): a method of separating a particle fraction from a particle stream in a fluid in a container under the influence of gravitational force based on difference in vertical velocity (see column 4, line 56 to column 5, line 38), wherein the fluid and the particles are moved in a substantially horizontal direction defining a relative direction of movement,

and respective particle fractions are collected in respective collecting means, and baffles, are provided for causing movement of the fluid.

In document D1 it is not explicitly described how far the baffles are placed from each other.

It depends on the material to be separated how far these particles spread out. If the

particles spread out rather far, it may be even sufficient to provide two, three or any small number of baffles, which is in any case disclosed by D1. For certain kinds of particles the condition of the characterizing portion of claim 1 is automatically fulfilled by using the apparatus of D1, irrespective how many separation baffles are present in the vessel.

The same conclusion must be drawn as above for claim 15, the skilled person would choose the most appropriate number of baffles to achieve at the best separation results, depending on the material of the particles to be separated.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-14, 16

The features of the dependent claims 2-14 and 16 are either known from the documents D1 - D3 cited in the International Search Report for the same purpose as in the present application or lie within the general knowledge of the skilled person, they do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT).

4. Meì 2005 11:38

Los & Stigter

Nr. 4380 P. 4

Our ref.: WO 800316-MP
International patent application PCT/NL2004/00186

AMENDED MAIN CLAIM

1. A method of separating a particle fraction from 5 a particle stream, wherein the particles of the particle stream are separated in a fluid in a container under the influence of gravitational force based on difference in vertical velocity, wherein the fluid and the particles are moved in a substantially horizontal direction defining a 10 relative direction of movement, and wherein at a first location a first particle fraction is collected, and at a second location somewhat removed from the first location, a second particle fraction is collected in respective collecting means, wherein baffles are provided for causing 15 the fluid to move in the relative direction of movement, characterised in that the baffles are placed maximally 3, preferably maximally 2 and most preferably less than 1 x the diameter of spread of the particles that spread out the most of the particle fraction spreading out the most.